

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/748,792 | 12/30/2003 | James K. Klang | C382.12-0143 | 2106 |
| 27367 | 7590 05/19/2005 | | EXAM | INER |
| WESTMAN CHAMPLIN & KELLY, P.A. SUITE 1400 - INTERNATIONAL CENTRE | | | GRANT, ROBERT J | |
| 900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| MINNEAPOL | LIS, MN 55402-3319 | | 2838 | |

DATE MAILED: 05/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | A.M. |
|---|---|--|------|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | · |
| | 10/748,792 | KLANG, JAMES K. | : |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| · | Robert Grant | 2838 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a | ppears on the cover sheet w | ith the correspondence address | |
| Period for Reply | N V IO OET TO EVOIDE A L | AONTHON FROM | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thir od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A | reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | n. |
| Status | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 | December 2003. | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ TI | his action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow | · | • • | s |
| closed in accordance with the practice unde | r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C. |). 11, 453 O.G. 213. | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application | on. | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected. | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and | I/or election requirement. | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exami | ner. | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 30 December 2003 is | s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)[| objected to by the Examiner. | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | ne drawing(s) be held in abeya | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre | ection is required if the drawing | (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(| d). |
| 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the | Examiner. Note the attache | d Office Action or form PTO-152. | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | gn priority under 35 U.S.C. | § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority docume | ents have been received. | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority docume | ents have been received in A | Application No | |
| 3 Copies of the certified copies of the present th | riority documents have beer | received in this National Stage | |
| application from the International Bure | , | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a li | st of the certified copies not | received. | ٠ |
| Attachment(s) | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview | Summary (PTO-413) | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No | (s)/Mail Date | |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/(Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6-4-04 (1) 3-31-04. 18 PAICES | 08) 5) Notice of 6) Other: | Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bertness (US 6,331,762) in view of Sakai et al. (US 5,905,915).

As to claim 1, Bertness discloses a method comprising: (a) measuring a dynamic parameter of the battery (Column 4, lines 44-50); (b) obtaining a discharge current of the battery (Figure 1, Element 26); (c) measuring a voltage of the battery (Element 24); (d) obtaining a temperature of the battery (element 37); and (e) the measured battery dynamic parameter, the discharge current, the measured battery voltage (Column 5, lines 59-67), the battery temperature (Column 9, lines 1-18), a full charge battery dynamic parameter and an estimated capacity of the battery (column 8, lines 52-58). Bertness does not expressly discloses predicting a remaining run time of the battery. Sakai discloses predicting a remaining run time of the battery (Column 46, lines 66-67). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of this invention to add the teachings of Sakai and predict and display the remaining run time of the battery with Bertness's energy management system so that the user can visually see the remaining time left for which the battery can be used.

As for Claim 2, which is dependent upon claim 1, Bertness further discloses wherein at least one of the measured battery dynamic parameter and the full charge battery dynamic parameter are adjusted such that the measured battery dynamic parameter and the full charge battery dynamic parameter are at a same temperature standard (column 7, lines 5-14) (column 9, line 13).

As to Claim 3, which is dependent upon claim 1, Bertness further discloses wherein the dynamic parameter measurement step (a) comprises determining a response of the battery to an applied current pulse (Column 5, lines 50-56).

As to Claim 4, which is dependent upon claim 1, Bertness further discloses wherein the measured battery dynamic parameter is battery conductance (Column 5, lines 60-62).

As to Claim 5, which is dependent upon claim 1, Bertness further discloses wherein the measured battery dynamic parameter is battery resistance (Column 5, lines 60-62).

As to Claim 6, Bertness in view of Sakai disclose a battery monitor implementing the method of claim 1 (See rejection of Claim 1).

As to Claim 7, which is dependent upon claim 6, Bertness in view of Sakai disclose the battery monitor carries out steps (a)-(e) iteratively.

As to Claim 8, Bertness in view of Sakai disclose a battery tester implementing the method of claim 1 (See rejection for claim 1).

As to Claim 9 Bertness discloses an apparatus comprising: a positive connector coupled to a positive terminal of the battery (figure 1, element 36A); a negative connector coupled to a negative terminal of the battery (element 36B) (Column 4, lines 15-18); a voltage sensor configured to measure a voltage of the battery (element 24); a temperature sensor configured to measure a temperature of the battery (element 37); a current sensor configured to measure a discharge current of the battery (Element 26); and processing circuitry configured to measure a dynamic parameter of the battery using the first and second connectors (Column 4, lines 44-50), the measured battery dynamic parameter, the discharge current, the measured battery voltage (Column 5, lines 59-67), the battery temperature (Column 9, lines 1-18), a full charge battery dynamic parameter and an estimated capacity of the battery (column 8, lines 52-58). Bertness does not expressly discloses predicting a remaining run time of the battery. Sakai discloses predicting a remaining run time of the battery (Column 46, lines 66-67). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of this invention to add the teachings of Sakai and predict and display the remaining run time of the battery with Bertness's energy management system so that the user can visually see the remaining time left for which the battery can be used.

As to claim 10, which is dependent upon claim 9, Bertness further discloses wherein processing circuitry is further configured to adjust at least one of the measured battery dynamic parameter and the full charge battery dynamic parameter such that the measured battery dynamic parameter and the full charge battery dynamic parameter are at a same temperature standard (Column 7, lines 5-14) (Column 9, line 13).

Application/Control Number: 10/748,792

Art Unit: 2838

As to Claim 11, which is dependent upon claim 9, Bertness further discloses a forcing function configured to apply a current pulse to the battery, wherein the processing circuitry is configured to measure the dynamic parameter by determining a response of the battery to an applied current pulse (Column 5, lines 50-56).

As to Claim 12, which is dependent upon claim 9, Bertness further discloses wherein the measured battery dynamic parameter is battery conductance (Column 5, lines 60-62).

As to Claim 13, which is dependent upon claim 9, Bertness further discloses wherein the measured battery dynamic parameter is battery resistance (Column 5, lines 60-62).

As to Claim 14, which is dependent upon claim 9, Bertness discloses wherein the positive connector is a first Kelvin connector and the negative connector is a second Kelvin connector (Elements 36A and 36B) (Column 4, lines 15-18).

As to Claim 15, which is dependent upon claim 9, Sakai further discloses an output configured to display the remaining run time of the battery (Figure 2, Element R1) (Column 47, lines 1-7).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Grant whose telephone number is 571-272-2727. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5.

Application/Control Number: 10/748,792

Art Unit: 2838

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Sherry can be reached on 571-272-2084. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RG

MICHAEL SHERRY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2863